Quasiparticles in Superconducting Quantum Circuits

Eli Levenson-Falk University of Southern California

Acknowledgements

Quantum Nanoelectronics Laboratory

Irfan Siddiqi (PI) R. Vijay (now Tata Institute) Nicolas Roch (now CNRS Néel) Andrew Eddins

Yale University

Leonid Glazman Filip Kos

Superconducting Electronics

- Quantum bits and artificial atoms
 - Quantum computing: Shor's algorithm, Grover's algorithm
 - Quantum simulation: Simulate dynamics, QVE
 - Large-N systems
- Quantum-limited amplifiers
 - Ultra-low-noise amplification of microwave signals
 - Squeezed light, light-matter interactions
- Sensitive detectors

Challenges

- Decoherence: I know $|\psi(0)\rangle$, what's $|\psi(t)\rangle$?
- Fidelity: want to store, transfer, and read out information without loss
- Scalability: more is different!

Improve technology \rightarrow do new experiments \rightarrow discover new limiting factors \rightarrow figure out how to fix \rightarrow improve technology...

First, the basics!

Building Blocks: Superconducting Resonator (a.k.a. Griffiths Chapter 2)





Nonlinear inductor

Building Blocks: SQUID



 $I_{C} = 2 I_{0} \left| \cos \frac{\pi \Phi}{\Phi_{0}} \right|$ $L_{S} = \frac{L_{J}}{2 \left| \cos \frac{\pi \Phi}{\Phi_{0}} \right|}$

For our purposes: SQUID acts as flux-tunable JJ

Transmon Qubit / AA



- Weakly anharmonic oscillator (1-10% anharmonicity typical)
- Wavefunctions are harmonic oscillator states, 1-20 GHz
- Isolate $|g\rangle$, $|e\rangle$ as $|0\rangle$, $|1\rangle$
- Simple, coherent, easy to couple



- Couple qubit to linear resonator / cavity
- Qubit can exchange E with cavity or modify cavity resonance
- Cavity protects qubit from environment
- Can be used for coupling, storage, as qubit, quantum optics, etc.

Decoherence

I know $|\psi(0)\rangle$, what's $|\psi(t)\rangle$?

- Relaxation: $|1\rangle \rightarrow |0\rangle$ (a.k.a. T_1)
 - Dielectric loss
 - Purcell decay
 - Quasiparticles
- Spurious excitation: $|0\rangle \rightarrow |1\rangle$
 - "Hot Purcell" Quasiparticles
- Dephasing: $a|0\rangle + be^{i\phi}|1\rangle$, scrambles ϕ (a.k.a. $T_{\phi} \rightarrow T_{2}$)
 - "Hot cavity"
 - Flux noise
 - Quasiparticles

Superconducting Quasiparticles



Superconducting Quasiparticles

- Tunnel across junction \rightarrow relaxation and spurious excitation (T₁)
- Transport in bulk \rightarrow relaxation (weak) (T₁)
- Trap in junction \rightarrow dephasing (T₂)

Too cold for thermal QPs, but QPs exist! Hot spots? Thermal radiation? Cosmic rays? Defects? Need to better understand behavior Use trapping measurements

Taupin 2016, Vool 2014, Wang 2014, Levenson-Falk 2014, Ristè 2013, Wenner 2013, Bretheau 2013, Olivares 2013, Barends 2011, Lelander 2011, Zgirski 2011

How to Trap Your Quasiparticles



- QP falls into subgap state, gets stuck (deeper than $k_B T$)
- Need to be able to measure \rightarrow circuit sensitive to trap!
- Ideally, we can:
 - 1. Tune trap energy
 - 2. Reset trap on demand
 - 3. Measure single trapped QP
 - 4. Measure dynamics

Andreev States 1.0 $E_{A\pm} = \pm \Delta \left| 1 - \tau \sin^2 \frac{\delta}{2} \right|$ Δ_{A} 0.5 0.0 ∎∢ -0.5 $\tau = 0.5$ -1.0 0.5 1.5 1.0 2.0 0.0 δ / π

- Semiconductor picture: 1D conduction channels
- Trap forms in transmissive channel
- Trap depth tuned by phase bias
- Trapped QP detectable by effect on *I_c* (i.e. on *L_j*)

Nanobridge Junction



- 3D: thin bridge, thick banks \rightarrow acts like ideal weak link
- All superconducting → trapping only due to Andreev states
- Many channels (100 1000 typical)
- Known distribution of transmittivity $\tau: \rho(\tau) \sim \frac{1}{\tau\sqrt{1-\tau}}$

Vijay, Levenson-Falk, and Siddiqi 2010 Levenson-Falk, Vijay, and Siddiqi 2011

NanoSQUID Resonator



Model system, similar QP behavior to qubit

Levenson-Falk 2014



500nm

Resonance Lineshapes



No flux (phase) bias, no trap \rightarrow ordinary resonance



Multiple resonances at finite flux!



Multiple resonances at finite flux!

Fits to Resonance Lineshapes



- Ensemble measurement—averages over all configurations
- Thermal above 75 mK
- Not Poisson-distributed; P(n=2) higher than predicted from measured P(n=0)

Number of QPs



As T rises:

-Each individual QP less likely to trap (thermally excited) -QP density rises (thermal population)



Bias Tone Spectroscopy



Consistent with theory

Untrapping and Retrapping



Time Constants



Retrapping time:
$$au_T = \left(\frac{2\Delta}{\Delta - E_A}\right)^2 au_R$$

Next Steps

- Observe real time trapping / untrapping
- Statistics of QPs—correlation?
- Measure non-thermal distribution
- Explore use as detector
- Mitigation strategies—what limits annihilation rate?

Want to see things in real time, single-shot Need more SNR!



AMPLIFIER MAKES AMBIENT NOISE INCONSEQUENTIAL! Amplifiers, in general, degrade signal to noise ratio Usual amplifier (HEMT) limits SNR

Nonlinear Resonator



Many levels, can treat classically





Lumped-element Josephson Parametric Amplifier (LJPA)





- 20-30 dB gain, 5-50 MHz BW
- Quantum limited noise: $k_B T_N = \frac{\hbar \omega}{2} \approx 150 \text{ mK}$
- Can be used as squeezer for signals and vacuum



- Light-matter interactions, quantum measurement
- Lower noise "beyond quantum limit" for detectors
- Plug-n-play resource for quantum optics!

Murch 2013, Shokair 2014

THANK YOU!